

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.

09/500,994

02/09/2000

Ted Johansson

032840-003

3285

21839

7590

08/06/2003

BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P **POST OFFICE BOX 1404** ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

**EXAMINER** 

MANDALA, VICTOR A

ART UNIT 2826

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/500,994	JOHANSSON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app	Victor A Mandala Jr.	2826				
Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	a correspond ne address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 J</u>	lune 2003 .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	_					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 October 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
		-				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu     See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

1

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Specification**

1. The amendment filed on 10-22-02 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The original disclosure does not support a ground plug being connected to a connector which is then connected to a source of the MOS transistor.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

# **Drawings**

2. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 10/22/02 have been disapproved because they introduce new matter into the drawings. 37 CFR 1.121(f) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support a ground plug being connected to a connector which is then connected to a source of the MOS transistor.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/500,994

Art Unit: 2826

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure teaches that the Applicant's teachings on a metal ground plug can be used in a MOS device, but nowhere is it taught that the ground connection is connected to a source.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 4 teaches a high frequency device, but the term high is found to be indefinite.

The examiner views 1k Hz to be a high frequency when compared to 1 Hz.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,240,867 Suzuki et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/500,994

Art Unit: 2826

5. Referring to claim 17, an arrangement for connecting a ground connection of a semiconductor device on top of at least one layer, (Figure 5 #64), on one side of a semiconductor substrate, (Figure 5 #61), to ground on the reverse side of the substrate, (Figure 5 #61), wherein a metal plug, (Figure 5 #73 and see \*\* below), extends through said at least one layer, (Figure 5 #64), down into the substrate, (Figure 5 #61), and wherein an electrically conductive connector, (Figure 5 #79), interconnects the ground connection, (See \*\*\* below), and the metal plug, (Figure 5 #67).

Page 4

- \*\* Suzuki et al. discloses the claimed invention except for a metal plug but a highly conductive semiconductor material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the plug out of metal, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.
- \*\*\* In reference to the claim language referring to [the voltage level being applied to the substrate], intended use and other types of functional language must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. In re Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).
- 6. Referring to claim 18, an arrangement, wherein said plug, (Figure 5 #73), extends deeper into the substrate, (Figure 5 #61), than PN junctions, (Figure 5 #61 and 63), located therein.
- 7. Referring to claim 19, an arrangement, wherein the connector, (Figure 5 #79), is a metal connector, (Col. 7 Lines 8-10).
- 8. Referring to claim 20, an arrangement, wherein said semiconductor device is a high frequency device, (Col. 3 Lines 30-37).
- 9. Referring to claim 21, an arrangement, wherein said semiconductor device is a power device, (Col. 3 Lines 30-37).

Application/Control Number: 09/500,994

Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

. .

10. Referring to claim 22, an arrangement, wherein said semiconductor device is a bipolar

transistor and said ground connection is an emitter connection, (Figure 5 #63).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Victor A Mandala Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-6560.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8am till 6pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nathan Flynn can be reached on (703) 308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

VAMJ

July 28, 2003

IATHAN FLYNN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800